

European Journal of Alternative Education Studies

ISSN: 2501-5915 ISSN-L: 2501-5915 Available on-line at: <u>www.oapub.org/edu</u>

doi: 10.5281/zenodo.1404315

Volume 3 | Issue 2 | 2018

EXAMINING THE GENERAL EFFICACY PERCEPTIONS OF CANDIDATE TEACHERS TOWARDS MEASUREMENT AND EVALUATION IN TERMS OF THEIR PROGRAMS

Onur Erⁱ, Kürşad Çağrı Bozkirli Department of Social Sciences and Turkish Language Education, Faculty of Education, Kafkas University, Turkey

Abstract:

The aim of this study is to determine the general competence perceptions aimed at assessment and evaluation of teacher candidates in terms of the program they participate in. The research is designed according to the survey model. The sample of the study consisted of 419 students selected through random sampling among the students having education in Kafkas University Faculty of Education and Karadeniz Technical University Fatih Faculty of Education in 2016-2017 academic period. In the study, "Assessment and Evaluation General Competence Perception Scale for Teacher Candidates" developed by Nartgün (2008) was used as the data collection tool. The scale has three dimensions. The dimensions of the scale have been named as "basic concepts", "assessment techniques" and "statistical analysis and reporting". As a result of the research, it has been revealed that there was a significant difference in the perception of competence to analyze and report statistics related to assessment and evaluation in terms of the program of the students. There was no significant difference in the competence perceptions of the students regarding assessment techniques and the basic concepts of assessment and evaluation in terms of the program they were in. While the Pre-school Teaching students had the highest average level of competency perceptions for the basic concepts of the scale, the students of Social Studies Teaching had the lowest average. Students in Turkish Teaching program had the highest average level of competency perceptions in terms of assessment techniques. On the other hand, the students in Classroom Teaching program had the lowest average.

Keywords: teacher candidate, assessment and evaluation, competence perception

Copyright © The Author(s). All Rights Reserved. © 2015 – 2017 Open Access Publishing Group

ⁱ Correspondence: email <u>onurer1827@gmail.com</u>

1. Introduction

Assessment and evaluation have an important place in education because the assessment and evaluation process can provide feedback for all elements of the program. "Assessment is the process of gathering information to monitor progress and make educational decisions if necessary. An assessment may include a test, but, also includes methods such as observations, interviews, behaviours, monitoring, etc." (Ajayi, 2018). "Evaluation is the consequence of ascribing meaning to facts, data, and information associated with that reality. The judgment is generally based on a definition of suitable criteria and on the identification of the quality models used as a reference" (Alvino and Persico, 2009). Yıldırım and Karakoç Öztürk (2009) stated that the assessment and evaluation process enables the quality of education to be controlled. In addition, they have expressed that there is a need for the assessment and evaluation to determine how much the students achieved the determined goals during the education and training process, to identify learning deficiencies, to be able to follow developmental levels. Çelik and Arslan (2012) also expressed that universities have a great responsibility in terms of assessment and evaluation.

The most important factor that runs the assessment and evaluation process is undoubtedly the teacher. This is due to the fact that the teacher is the person who can apply this process based on the program, experience and knowledge. Teachers feeling sufficient during undergraduate program and their attitudes in this regard may significantly affect the assessment and evaluation process. However, in a study conducted by Çakan (2004) teachers did not find themselves sufficient in terms of assessment and evaluation. In a study carried out by Benzer and Eldem (2013), it has been found that the knowledge of teachers on the methods of assessment and evaluation and the usage level of these methods were low. Sönmez Ektem, Erben Keçici and Pileten (2016) have pointed out that teachers experience problems with processoriented assessment and evaluation methods. In the study that Yıldırım and Karakoç Öztürk (2009)] conducted, they have stated that it would be difficult to fill out each of the observation and evaluation forms about the basic language skills and other measuring instruments for each student in the program and evaluation of performance assignments especially in crowded classrooms. In the research conducted by Gelbal and Kelecioğlu (2007), it has been determined that teachers prefer the method of assessment and evaluation in which they consider themselves sufficient, half of the teachers in the study have had problems using the assessment methods and those who have problems with problem assessment often refer to the fact that classes are crowded, lack of time, and difficulty in preparing assessment tools. In a study conducted by Sekban and Köse (2017), it has been determined that teachers generally regard themselves as sufficient in the assessment and evaluation applications.

The interests, attitudes, opinions of students towards measurement and evaluation are quite important. Acar Güvendir and Özer Özkan (2016) determined the perceptions of candidate teachers towards measurement and evaluation course through metaphors. In the research, the measurement and evaluation course was assessed as abstract, detailed and complex by a majority of candidate teachers.

The ability of teachers to use assessment tools effectively will definitely affect the assessment and evaluation process positively. For this reason, it is necessary to increase the interests, attitudes and awareness of teacher candidates regarding the use of assessment tools (Buldur and Tatar, 2009). In a study carried out by Çelik and Arslan (2012), it has been observed that the competency perceptions of the teacher candidates, not having enough assessment and evaluation courses during undergraduate program were low. Therefore, teacher candidates having awareness on the assessment and evaluation process, having a positive attitude towards the assessment and evaluation process and being sufficient in terms of practical and theoretical knowledge of the assessment and evaluation process at undergraduate level are essential.

Various studies related to the assessment and evaluation have been made in the literature. When some of these studies are examined it is seen that the program variable is effective on the opinions, attitudes, self-efficacy and perceptions of the teacher candidates and teachers in various programs (Yıldırım and Karakoç Oztürk, 2009; Çelik and Arslan, 2012; Çakan, 2004; Benzer and Eldem, 2013; Sönmez Ektem, Erben Keçici and Pileten, 2016; Gelbal and Kelecioğlu, 2007; Sekban and Köse, 2017; Acar Güvendir and Özer Özkan, 2016; Gök and Erdoğan, 2009; Oguzor and Opara, 2011; Yaman and Karamustafaoğlu, 2011; Şahin and Uysal, 2013; Altun and Gelbal, 2014; Yiğit and Kırımlı, 2015; Büyüköztürk, Çokluk and Köklü, 2010; Çiçek, 2010). Thus, it is believed that the variable of the program that teacher candidates are in may affect their perceptions of competence in assessment and evaluation. According to Yaşar (2014), the attitudes of candidate teachers toward measurement and evaluation in education course were affected strongly by department and program type (evening class and normal class) variables. When the relevant literature was examined, no study on perceptions of competence of the students of Kafkas University and Karadeniz Technical University Fatih Education Faculty in assessment and evaluation was found. From this point of view, the problem is stated as "How are the perceptions of general competence of the students of Fatih Education Faculty of Kafkas University and Karadeniz Technical University in terms of assessment and evaluation?"

Research goal is to determine general competence perceptions of teacher candidates of measurement and evaluation in terms of various variables. For this purpose, answers of the following questions were sought.

- Do the competence perceptions of teacher candidates about basic concepts of assessment and evaluation change according to the program?
- Do the competence perceptions of teacher candidates about evaluation and assessment techniques change according to the program?
- Do the competence perceptions of the prospective teachers about analyzing and reporting statistics related to assessment and evaluation change according to the program they are in?

2. Materials and Methods

This study based on the general competence perceptions of teacher candidates for assessment and evaluation of various variables is in the survey model. "Survey model is research approaches that aim to describe a situation in the past or present. The event, individual or object of the study is tried to be defined in their own conditions and as they are" (Karasar, 2010, p. 77). The survey model was preferred as it presents "a great amount of knowledge obtained from a sample consisting of as many individuals as possible" (Büyüköztürk, Kılıççakmak, Akgün, Karadeniz, and Demirel, 2011).

The sample of the study consisted of 419 students selected through random sampling among the students having education in Kafkas University Faculty of Education and Karadeniz Technical University Fatih Education Faculty in 2016-2017 academic period. *"Random sampling is a technique used in the selection of a sample giving equal and mutually independent chance to all elements in a defined population to be selected as a sample. In other words, the probability of being selected for all elements is same and the selection of an element does not affect the selection of another element" (Özen and Gül, 2007, p. 399).* In Table 1, the percentage and the frequency distribution of the personal characteristics of the teacher candidates participating in the survey are given.

Variable		Ν	%
University	Kafkas University	203	48.4
University	Karadeniz Technical University	216	51.6
Program	Classroom Teaching	105	25.1
	Science Teaching	104	24.8
	Turkish Teaching	73	17.4
	Social Sciences Teaching	71	16.9
	Pre-School Teaching	66	15.8
	Total	419	100

Table 1: Information of the participators

According to Table 1, 419 candidate teachers from classroom teaching, science teaching, Turkish teaching, social sciences teaching and preschool teaching programs participated in the study. The classroom teachers teach basic mathematics and science and literacy in the following stage after preschool period.

1.1 The Development of Data Gathering Tools

The data of the study were collected using the "General Survey of Perceptions and Assessment for Teacher Candidates" developed by Nartgün (2008), a Likert type attitude scale, in order to determine the extent to which teacher candidates see themselves qualified in terms of their assessment and evaluation competencies. The scale consists of three sub-dimensions. There are a total of 24 items; as 6 (about measurement, evaluation, variable, scale, validity, reliability) in the first dimension, 9 (about multiple choice questions, short-answer questions, matching type questions, true false type questions, open-ended questions, performance tasks, portfolio, measuring

non-cognitive and other supplementary measurement techniques) in the second dimension and 9 (about item analysis, frequency distribution, central distribution criterion, normal distribution criterion, relation criterion, predictive statistics, different approaches of transforming scores into grades and reporting) items in the third dimension.

The dimensions of the scale have been named as "basic concepts", "assessment techniques" and "statistical analysis and reporting". The Cronbach Alpha reliability coefficient of the entire scale was found to be .84. It was found that the Cronbach Alpha reliability coefficient for the "basic concepts" dimension of the scale was .79, Cronbach Alpha reliability coefficient for the dimension of "assessment techniques" was .77 and the Cronbach Alpha reliability coefficient for the dimension of "statistical analysis and reporting" was .87. Test-retest reliability of the scale was found to be 0.91. As a result of the reliability analysis conducted in this study, Cronbach Alpha reliability coefficients for the whole scale and subscales were found to be .91, .82, .82 and .88, respectively. Each item of the scale is scored between 5 (I am very qualified) and 1 (I am very unqualified). The total score to be obtained from the scale ranges from 24 to 120. The minimum and maximum points that can be taken for each dimension are as follows; 6-30 in the first dimension, 9-45 in the second dimension and 9-45 in the third dimension.

1.2 Analyzing of Data

The data were collected from teacher candidates having education in Kafkas University Faculty of Education and Karadeniz Technical University Fatih Education Faculty and taking the course of "Assessment and Evaluation". Data were analyzed by means of the SPSS 15 program. Missing and incorrectly filled scales were excluded from the scope of the research.

Predictive statistical methods were used for data analysis. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, which is used in normality examination, has been used in order to determine whether the research data distributed normally. Finding the p value calculated as a result of Kolmogorov-Smirnov test larger than .05 was interpreted as the scores showed normal distribution at significance level. Therefore, independent sample T-test and ANOVA from parametrical tests were applied.

2. Findings

2.1. Do the competence perceptions of teacher candidates about basic concepts of assessment and evaluation change according to the program they participate in? Single-way analysis of variance has been used to determine whether there is a difference among the participants' perceptions of competence about the basic concepts related to assessment and evaluation according to the program that participants are in.

Table 2: Numerical distributions of participants according to the program that they are in,
arithmetic averages and standard deviations of competency perceptions scores for basic
concepts related to assessment and evaluation

	N	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$	SD	
Pre-School Teaching	66	31.42	7.18	
Science Teaching	104	29.26	5.42	
Turkish Teaching	73	29.05	6.59	
Classroom Teaching	105	27.72	6.54	
Social Sciences Teaching	71	23.54	6.41	

When the Table 2 is examined, the students in the Pre-School Teacher Program have the highest average. The students in the Classroom Teaching and Social Studies Teaching program have the lowest average. The averages of the students in Science Teaching and Turkish Language Teaching Programs are close to each other.

One-way analysis of variance has been used to determine whether there is a significant difference in the participants' perceptions of competence about the basic concepts related to assessment and evaluation according to the program that the participants are in and the results have been given in Table 3.

	Sum of the squares	df	Average of the squares	F	р
Intergroup	40,543	4	10,136	,593	,668
In-group	7077,595	414	17,096		
Total	7118,138	418			

Table 3: The results of variance analysis regarding the difference among basic concepts relatedto assessment and evaluation of participants according to the program that they are in

As seen in Table 3, the variance value related to the competency perception scores for basic concepts in terms of assessment and evaluation according to the program of the participants was found to be F =.593 and p> 0.05 was not found significant at the significance level. This finding suggests that there is no significant difference in competence perceptions of the participants regarding basic concepts related to assessment and evaluation according to the programs they are in.

2.2. Do the qualification perceptions of teacher candidates regarding assessment techniques change according to the program they are in?

One-way analysis of variance was used to determine whether there was a difference among the participants' perceptions of competence about the assessment techniques according to the program that the participants were.

	Ν	$\overline{\mathrm{X}}$	SD
Pre-School Teaching	66	31.56	4.82
Science Teaching	104	31.54	4.86
Turkish Teaching	73	32.86	6.11
Classroom Teaching	105	30.80	5.03
Social Sciences Teaching	71	32.16	5.13

 Table 4: Numerical distributions of participants according to the program

 that they are in, arithmetic mean and standard deviations of competence perceptions

 scores for assessment techniques

When the Table 4 is examined, the students in the Turkish Teaching Program have the highest average. The students in the Classroom Teaching program have the lowest average. The averages of the students in the Pre-school Teaching and Science Teaching Programs are close to each other.

One-way analysis of variance was used to determine whether there was a significant difference in the participants' perceptions of competence about the assessment techniques according to the program that participants were in and the results were given in Table 5.

perc	perceptions of competence about the assessment techniques of participants					
according to the program that they are in						
	Sum of the squares	df	Average of the squares	F	р	
Intergroup	40.543	4	10.136	.593	.668	
In-group	7077.595	414	17.096			
Total	7118.138	418				

Table 5: The results of variance analysis regarding the difference among perceptions of competence about the assessment techniques of participants according to the program that they are in

As seen in Table 5, the variance value regarding the competency perception scores related to the assessment techniques according to the program of the participants was found to be F=1.890 and p>0.05 was not found significant at the significance level. This finding suggests that there is no difference among the competence perception scores of the participants regarding the assessment techniques according to the program they are in.

2.3. Do the competence perceptions of the prospective teachers about analyzing and reporting statistics related to assessment and evaluation change according to the program they are in?

One-way analysis of variance was used to determine whether there was a difference among the participants' perceptions of competence about analyzing and reporting statistical data related to assessment and evaluation according to the program that the participants were.

and meter mean and standard deviations of competence perceptions scores for analyzing and				
reporting statistics related to assessment and evaluation				
	Ν	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$	SD	
Pre-School Teaching	66	27.00	6.26	
Science Teaching	104	29.44	6.08	
Turkish Teaching	73	28.42	7.55	
Classroom Teaching	105	24.36	6.42	

Social Sciences Teaching

Table 6: Numerical distributions of participants according to the program that they are in, arithmetic mean and standard deviations of competence perceptions scores for analyzing and reporting statistics related to assessment and evaluation

When Table 6 is examined, the students in the Science Teaching Program have the highest average. The students in the Classroom Teaching program have the lowest average. The averages of the students in the Turkish Teaching and Social Studies Teaching Programs are close to each other.

71

28.33

6.82

One-way analysis of variance was used to determine whether there was a significant difference in the participants' perceptions of competence about analyzing and reporting statistics related to assessment and evaluation according to the program that the participants were in and the results were given in Table 7.

Table 7: The results of variance analysis regarding the difference among analyzing and reporting statistics related to assessment and evaluation of participants according to the program that they are in

	Sum of the squares	df	Average of the squares	F	р
Intergroup	1552.447	4	388.112	8.907	.000
In-group	18039.624	414	43.574		
Total	19592.072	418			

As seen in Table 7, the variance value related to competence perception scores for analyzing and reporting statistics related to assessment and evaluation according to the participants' program, was found to be F = 8.907 and significance level was p < 0.05. This finding suggests that there is a difference among participants in terms of their perception of competence scores to analyze and report statistics related to assessment and evaluation according to the program they are in. The Tukey test was conducted to find out which of the program students cause the difference.

Table 8: Tukey test results regarding competence perceptions scores for analyzing and reporting statistics related to assessment and evaluation in accordance with the program that participants' are in

(I) Program	(J) Program	Difference Between Averages (I-J)	р
	Science Teaching	-2.44231	.131
Due Cale al Tarahina	Turkish Teaching	-1.42466	.709
Pre-School Teaching	Classroom Teaching	2.63810	.083
	Social Sciences Teaching	-1.33803	.760
	Pre-School Teaching	-2.44231	.131
Science Teaching	Turkish Teaching	1.01765	.851
	Classroom Teaching	5.08040*	.000

European Journal of Alternative Education Studies - Volume 3 | Issue 2 | 2018

Onur Er, Kürşad Çağrı Bozkirli EXAMINING THE GENERAL EFFICACY PERCEPTIONS OF CANDIDATE TEACHERS TOWARDS MEASUREMENT AND EVALUATION IN TERMS OF THEIR PROGRAMS

	Social Sciences Teaching	1.10428	.813
	Pre-School Teaching	1.42466	.709
Turkich Tooshing	Science Teaching	-1.01765	.851
Turkish Teaching	Classroom Teaching	4.06275*	.001
	Social Sciences Teaching	.08663	1.000
	Pre-School Teaching	-2.63810	.083
Classroom Toashing	Science Teaching	-5.08040*	.000
Classroom Teaching	Turkish Teaching	-4.06275*	.001
	Social Sciences Teaching	-3.97612*	.001
	Pre-School Teaching	1.33803	.760
Social Sciences Teaching	Science Teaching	-1.10428	.813
Social Sciences Teaching	Turkish Teaching	08663	1.000
	Classroom Teaching	3.97612*	.001

When Table 8 is examined, the differences among the competence perception score averages of the students in the Classroom Teaching Program and in the Science Teaching, Turkish Language Teaching and Social Studies Teaching Programs, for analyzing and reporting the statistics related to the assessment and evaluation has been found significant. The competence perceptions of students in the Classroom Teaching Program are lower than those of the students in Science Teaching, Turkish Language Teaching Programs.

4. Conclusion and Discussion

The aim of this study is to determine the general competence perceptions aimed at assessment and evaluation of teacher candidates in terms of the program they are in. Based on this aim, three research questions have been determined. Predictive statistical methods were used in the study.

In the study, first, one-way analysis of variance was applied to find the answer to the question "Do the competence perceptions of teacher candidates about basic concepts of assessment and evaluation change according to the program they are in?" As a result of the one-way ANOVA test, there was no significant difference in the competence perceptions of the students regarding the basic concepts of assessment and evaluation in terms of the program they were in. In the studies conducted, it was indicated that the perception level of the teacher candidates did not change according to the program variable (Yaman and Karamustafaoğlu, 2011; Yaralı, 2017). This result of the study is consistent with the relevant literature. While the Pre-school Teaching students have the highest average level of competency perceptions for the basic concepts of the scale, the students of Social Studies Teaching have the lowest average. The reason why Preschool Teaching students got the highest average score can be statistics course they take in addition to measurement and evaluation course in their program. When the sources of the statistics course are examined, it is seen that the basic concepts of measurement and evaluation are included in the content of the course (Büyüköztürk, Çokluk and Köklü, 2010; Çiçek, 2010; Güler, 2012). Karaduman and

Yanpar (2011) have determined that the teacher candidates, who continue their education in Science and Technology Teaching and Turkish Language Teaching programs, regard themselves sufficient about the basic concepts of assessment and evaluation. In this study, it has been found that the averages of the students in Science Teaching and Turkish Language Teaching Programs are close to each other. In addition their general competency perception scores are higher compared to the students in Classroom Teaching and Social Studies Teaching programs. In a study conducted by Sabanci and Yazici (2017), it has been determined that students of Classroom Teaching and Social Studies Teaching regard themselves as "competent" in the dimension of "basic concepts" from the general competency assessment and evaluation perception scale.

One-way variance analysis test was applied to find the answer to the second question "Do the qualification perceptions of teacher candidates about assessment techniques change according to the program?" and as a result there was no significant difference regarding the competence perceptions for assessment and evaluation according to the program that the students were in. In the study conducted by Erdoğdu and Kurt (2012), significant differences were found among the scores of subscale of competence perception assessment technique in the comparison of assessment and evaluation competency perceptions according to the teaching area.

Students being in Turkish Teaching program have the highest average level of competency perceptions in terms of assessment techniques. On the other hand, the students in Classroom Teaching program have the lowest average. However, Sabanci and Yazıcı (2017) have noted that students in Classroom Teaching Program have higher perceptions of competence in terms of assessment techniques. Erdoğdu and Kurt (2012) determined that the assessment techniques competency perceptions of the classroom teachers were higher than those of the branch teachers. In their study, Daniel and King (1998) have concluded that teachers did not feel competent about the techniques of assessment.

Lastly, one-way variance analysis was applied to find the answer to the question "Do the competence perceptions of the prospective teachers about analyzing and reporting statistics related to assessment and evaluation change according to the program they are in?" As a result of one-way variance analysis, there was a significant difference in the perception of competence to analyze and report statistics related to assessment and evaluation in terms of the program they were in. The Tukey test was conducted to find out which of the program students cause the difference and the differences among the competence perception score averages of the students in Classroom Teaching Program and in Science Teaching, Turkish Language Teaching and Social Studies Teaching Programs, for analyzing and reporting the statistics related to the assessment and evaluation were found to be significant. In the study of Yeşilyurt (2012), a significant difference according to the program variable of the teacher candidates regarding the competencies in the dimension of the statistical analysis and reporting has been revealed. Pektaş (2010) have expressed that perceptions of teacher

candidates in terms of assessment and evaluation competence showed significant differences in terms of field variables seen in all subscales.

In this study it has been determined that the efficacy perceptions of the students in Classroom Teaching program towards statistical analysis and reporting are lower than the students in Science Teaching, Turkish Teaching and Social Sciences Teaching programs. The reason for that might derive from the instructor of the course. In education faculties, the institutions training teachers in Turkey, a package program is applied. It is aimed to provide students the same courses in all faculties. However, the basic determinant on the instruction of the courses and student achievement are the instructors and the way of instructing. Hence, the reason for that the efficacy perceptions of the students towards statistical analysis and reporting in Classroom Teaching program are lower in comparison with the students in Science Teaching, Turkish Teaching and Social Sciences Teaching programs can derive from the instructor. According to Arslantas (2011), instructors working in the faculty of education are insufficient in measurement and evaluation. In addition, it might be caused by individual inefficacy of classroom candidate teachers. In a study conducted by Gök and Erdoğan (2009), it has been revealed that the students of the classroom teaching generally do not use the multiple evaluation methods projected by the program in Turkish lessons. It has also been revealed in the same study that more than half of the students in classroom teaching experience difficulties in applying assessment and evaluation methods. In the study of Karaduman and Yanpar (2011), general competence perceptions of assessment and evaluation were found to present a significant difference according to the program variable. Noting that it has not been at a sufficient level, it has been pointed out that the competence perception averages of the teacher candidates, who continue Science and Technology Teaching and Classroom Teaching programs, were higher than the other teacher candidates.

5. Recommendations

In the study, no significant difference between the efficacy perceptions towards basic concepts of measurement and evaluation and measurement techniques was found in terms of their programs. However, some supplementary courses about measurement evaluation and statistics can be added to all programs when it is taken into consideration that the students of preschool teaching program have the highest and the students of social sciences teaching program have the lowest mean in the efficacy perception level towards basic concepts, and the students of Turkish teaching program have the highest mean and the students of classroom teaching have the lowest mean in the efficacy means of Classroom Teaching students in the dimension of efficacy perceptions towards measurement techniques and efficacy towards statistical analysis and reporting are lower than the students of other programs in the study. It is remarkable that the classroom teaching students have low means in both dimensions. Therefore, interviews

can be made in order to determine the reasons of low efficacy perceptions of Classroom Teaching students in measurement techniques and statistical analysis and reporting subjects.

References

- 1. Acar Güvendir, M. A. and Özer Özkan, Y. Ö. (2016). Determining the perceptions of prospective teachers in relation to measurement and evaluation course through metaphors. Dumlupinar Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi, 47, 91-105. Retrieved from <u>http://dergipark.gov.tr/dpusbe/issue/26801/286331</u>.
- Ajayi, V. (2018). Difference between assessment, measurement and evaluation in science education. Retrieved on 05th, July 2018 from <u>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/322908173 Difference between Asses</u> <u>sment Measurement and Evaluation in Science Education</u>.
- 3. Altun, A. and Gelbal, S. (2014). Determining teachers' measurement tools or techniques via pair-wise comparison method. *Journal of Measurement and Evaluation in Education and Psychology*, 5(1), 1-11.
- 4. Alvino, S. and Persico, D. (2009). The relationship between assessment and evaluation in CSCL. in Cartelli A. e Palma M. (eds.) *Encyclopaedia of Information and Communication Technology*, Vol. II, (pp. 698-703), Hershey, NY: Information Science Reference.
- 5. Arslantaş, H. İ. (2011). Öğretim elemanlarının öğretim stratejileri-yöntem ve teknikleri, iletişim ve ölçme değerlendirme yeterliklerine yönelik öğrenci görüşleri. *Mustafa Kemal Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi*, *8*(15), 487-506.
- 6. Benzer, A. and Eldem, E. (2013) Türkçe ve edebiyat öğretmenlerinin ölçme ve değerlendirme araçları hakkında bilgi düzeyleri. Kastamonu Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi, 21(2), 649-664.
- 7. Buldur, S. and Tatar, N. (2009). Öğretmen adaylarının alternatif değerlendirme yaklaşımları hakkındaki bilgi düzeylerinin geliştirilmesi. Paper presented at the *XVIII. Ulusal Eğitim Bilimleri Congress*. Ege University, İzmir.
- 8. Büyüköztürk, Ş., Çokluk, Ö. and Köklü, N. (2010). *Sosyal bilimler için istatistik*. (6. Baskı). Ankara: Pegem Akademi.
- 9. Büyüköztürk, Ş., Kılıççakmak, E., Akgün, Ö. E., Karadeniz, Ş. and Demirel, F. (2011). *Bilimsel araştırma yöntemleri*. (8. Baskı). Ankara: Pegem Akademi.
- 10. Çakan, M. (2004). Öğretmenlerin ölçme-değerlendirme uygulamaları ve yeterlik düzeyleri: ilk ve ortaöğretim. *Ankara Üniversitesi Eğitim Bilimleri Fakültesi Dergisi*, *37*(2), 99-144.
- 11. Çelik, Z. and Arslan, Y. (2012). Aday beden eğitimi öğretmenlerinin ölçme ve değerlendirme genel yeterlik algılarının belirlenmesi. *Selçuk Üniversitesi Beden Eğitimi ve Spor Bilim Dergisi*, 14(2), 223-232.

- 12. Çiçek, E. U. (2010). *Tanımlayıcı istatistikler*. (*ed.* Ş. Kalaycı), SPSS uygulamalı çok değişkenli istatistik teknikleri içinde (p. 51-58). Ankara: Asil Yayın Dağıtım.
- 13. Daniel, L. G. and King, D. (1998). Knowledge and use of testing and measurement literacy of elementary and secondary teachers. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 91(6), 331-344, DOI: 10.1080/00220679809597563.
- 14. Erdoğdu, M. Y. and Kurt, F. (2012). The analysis of teacher's competency perceptions on measurement and assessment according to the some variables. *Electronic Journal of Education Sciences*, 1(2), 23-36.
- 15. Gelbal, S. and Kelecioğlu, H. (2007). Öğretmenlerin ölçme ve değerlendirme yöntemleri hakkındaki yeterlik algıları ve karşılaştıkları sorunlar. *Hacettepe Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi*, 33(2), 135-145.
- 16. Gök, B. and Erdoğan, B. (2009). Sınıf öğretmeni adaylarının yeni Türkçe öğretim programındaki ölçme ve değerlendirme yöntemlerini kullanma düzeyleri. *Çukurova Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi, 18*(1), 233-246.
- 17. Güler, N. (2012). Eğitimde ölçme ve değerlendirme. (3. Baskı). Ankara: Pegem Akademi.
- 18. Karaduman, B. and Yanpar, T. (2011). Öğretmen adaylarının değerlendirme tercihleri ile ölçme ve değerlendirme genel yeterlik algılarının belirlenmesi. Retrieved from <u>http://www.pegem.net/akademi/kongrebildiri_detay.aspx?id=129564</u>.
- 19. Karasar, N. (2010). Bilimsel araştırma yöntemi (21. Baskı). Ankara: Nobel Yayın Dağıtım.
- 20. Nartgün, Z. (2008). Öğretmen adayları için ölçme ve değerlendirme genel yeterlik algısı ölçeği: geçerlik ve güvenirlik çalışması. *Abant İzzet Baysal Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi*, 8(2), 85-94.
- 21. Oguzor, N. S. and Opara, J. A. (2011). Evaluation and measurement in high school education. *Current Research Journal of Social Sciences*, 3(4), 364-373.
- 22. Özen, Y. and Gül, A. (2007). Sosyal ve eğitim bilimleri araştırmalarında evren örneklem sorunu. *Kâzım Karabekir Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi*, 15, 394-422.
- 23. Pektaş, S. (2010). Öğretmen adaylarının ölçme ve değerlendirme yeterlik algılarının incelenmesi. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Abant İzzet Baysal, Bolu, Turkey.
- 24. Sabancı, O. and Yazıcı, K. (2017). Examining pre-service teachers' efficacy perceptions towards measurement and evaluation. *Trakya Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi*, 7(1), 128-153.
- 25. Şahin, M. and Uysal, İ. (2013). Öğretmen adaylarının ölçme ve değerlendirme konusundaki öz-yeterlik algılarının incelenmesi. *Bartın Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi*, 2(2), 190-207.
- 26. Sekban M. and Köse İ. A. (2017). KKTC'de Genel Ve Mesleki Liselerde Görev Yapan Öğretmenlerin Ölçme Değerlendirme Uygulamalarına Yönelik Yeterlik Algılarının İncelenmesi. Abant İzzet Baysal Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi, 17(2), 884-901.

- 27. Sönmez Ektem, I., Erben Keçici, S. and Pileten, G. (2016) Sınıf Öğretmenlerinin Süreç Odaklı Ölçme ve Değerlendirme Yöntemlerine İlişkin Görüşleri. *Ahi Evran Üniversitesi Kırşehir Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi (KEFAD)*, 17(3), 661-680.
- 28. Yaman, S. and Karamustafaoğlu, S. (2011). Öğretmen adaylarının ölçme ve değerlendirme alanına yönelik yeterlik algı düzeylerinin incelenmesi. *Ankara Üniversitesi Eğitim Bilimleri Fakültesi Dergisi*, 44(2), 53-72.
- 29. Yaralı, D. (2017). Öğretmen adaylarının ölçme ve değerlendirmeye yönelik yeterlik algılarının incelenmesi (Kafkas Üniversitesi örneği). *Abant İzzet Baysal Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi*, *17*(1), 487-504.
- 30. Yaşar, M. (2014). Eğitimde ölçme ve değerlendirme dersine yönelik tutum ölçeğinin geliştirilmesi. *Eğitim Bilimleri Araştırmaları Dergisi,* 4(1), 259-279.
- 31. Yeşilyurt, E. (2012). Öğretmen adaylarının ölçme ve değerlendirme alanına ilişkin genel yeterlik algıları. *Mustafa Kemal Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi*, 9(17), 377-395.
- 32. Yiğit, F. and Kırımlı, B. (2015). Türkçe öğretmenlerinin alternatif ölçme değerlendirme yöntemlerinin işlevleri ve kullanılma sıklığı hakkındaki görüşleri. *Millî Eğitim Dergisi*, 205, 64-86. Retrieved from <u>http://dergipark.gov.tr/milliegitim/issue/36159/406450</u>.
- 33. Yıldırım, F. and Karakoç Öztürk, B. (2009). Türkçe dersi öğretim programının ölçme değerlendirme ögesi hakkında öğretmen görüşleri. *Çukurova Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi*, 37(3), 92-108.

Creative Commons licensing terms

Authors will retain the copyright of their published articles agreeing that a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0) terms will be applied to their work. Under the terms of this license, no permission is required from the author(s) or publisher for members of the community to copy, distribute, transmit or adapt the article content, providing a proper, prominent and unambiguous attribution to the authors in a manner that makes clear that the materials are being reused under permission of a Creative Commons License. Views, opinions and conclusions expressed in this research article are views, opinions and conclusions of the author(s). Open Access Publishing Group and European Journal of Alternative Education Studies shall not be responsible or answerable for any loss, damage or liability caused in relation to/arising out of conflict of interests, copyright violations and inappropriate or inaccurate use of any kind content related or integrated on the research work. All the published works are meeting the Open Access Publishing requirements and can be freely accessed, shared, modified, distributed and used in educational, commercial and non-commercial purposes under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)</u>.